Binbin Li¹; Hong Chang^{1,2}; Ming-shan Tsai²; Bobby Kong²; Wei Huang^{1,3}, Zhanfeng Cui^{1,3}

1. Oxford Suzhou Centre for Advanced Research, University of Oxford; 2. ZYTCA Animal Health, Begbroke Science Park, Oxford, OX5 1PF; 3. Department of Engineering Science, University of Oxford

Abstract

Global outbreaks of African swine fever (ASF) have caused a huge economic loss in the pork industry and a health burden on domestic pigs and wild boars in recent years. Here, we demonstrated high diagnostic sensitivity and specificity of a taqman-probe real-time PCR based test using 240 clinical samples with confirmed ASFV status, and its ability of direct testing using porcine serum. This technique has great potential to realize low-cost, high accuracy point-of-care (POC) testing of ASFV.

Introduction

The UlfaQTM ASFV Test (ZYTCA Ltd., Oxford, UK) is a commercial realtime PCR assay designed to amplify the ASFV P72 gene using primers and double-quenched probes, featuring:

- Limit of detection (LoD): 2 copies/reaction or 100 copies/mL
- Protects polymerase from PCR inhibitors (Table 1)^{1,2}
- Inclusive of Internal control
- Lyophilised reagents

The aim of this study is to test UlfaQTM ASFV's diagnostic sensitivity and specificity using clinical samples with confirmed ASFV status, and the ability of direct testing using serum without DNA extraction.

PCR Inhibitor	Samples containing the inhibitor	Concentration that would inhibit PCR	UlfaQ [™] 's tolerable concentration
Haemoglobin	Whole blood	20µg/reaction	50µg/reaction
Heparin sodium	Whole blood, serum, plasma	0.005 IU/reaction (0.5μg/reaction)	0.01 IU/reaction (1µg/reaction)
Humic acid	Environment swabs, anal swabs, faeces	10ng/reaction	500ng/reaction
lgG	Serum, tissue samples	0.2µg/ml	0.8µg/ml
NaCl	Whole blood, serum, plasma, nasal discharge, urine, or any other body fluid samples	0.2%	0.4%
SDS	Post-lysis clinical samples	0.01%	0.1%

Table 1: Overview of UlfaQ™ reagent tolerance to common PCR inhibitors

Materials and methods

Three independent clinical validation studies were conducted using 240 pig samples. All samples were purified using commercial DNA extraction kit before tested using UlfaQ[™] ASFV.

	ASFV + sample	ASFV - sample	Lab location	Comparison test method
Study A	16	13	China	IDEXX RealPCR ASFV
Study B	11	0	China	ID Gene TM ASFV
Study C	94	106	UK (Pirbright Institute)*	WOAH recommended protocol (King et al.) ³
Total	123	119		

Table 2: overview of sample numbers, status of ASFV infection, and experiment location of studies conducted. * For Study C, the samples were originated outside the UK, but the experiments were conducted at the Pirbright Institute

Additionally, the direct amplification protocol (Figure 1) was evaluated using four ASFV negative porcine serum samples spiked with ASFV P72 plasmids and compared to the standard protocol (DNA was extracted using ReliaPrepTM Blood gDNA Miniprep System, Promega) by Ct values. Three replicates were performed.

Figure 1: Direct amplification protocol of UlfaQ™ASFV using clinical samples.



Results

The studies (Table 3, 4 and 5) demonstrated that the UlfaQTM ASFV Test has a diagnostic sensitivity of 97.6% (120/123, 95% Cl=93.04% - 99.49%) and specificity of 94.9% (113/119, 95% CI=89.35% -98.13%) across various sample types.

Study B (n=11)

Study A (n=29)

Sample info			UlfaQ." Ct v	" ASFV alue	ASFV Ct value	
Sample type	ASF status	Other disease status	ASFV (FAM)	IPC (HEX)	ASFV (FAM)	IPC (HE)
Pig serum	+		26.07	25.91	26.04	28.1
Pig serum	+		21.76	31.04	20.99	30.0
Purified DNA	+		34.59	27.55	34.54	27.3
Purified DNA	+		34.12	29.81	37.18	28.0
Purified DNA	+		34.37	27.03	34.55	27.3
Purified DNA	+		34.24	26.98	34.71	27.5
Purified DNA	+		35.27	26.30	34.54	26.
Purified DNA	+		21.21	23.17	20.78	23.2
Purified DNA	+		30.69	29.18	29.84	28.9
Purified DNA	+		29.44	31.58	29.6	30.7
Purified DNA	+		39.09	30.59	37.23	29.8
Purified DNA	+		30.47	24.81	29.15	24.5
Purified DNA	+		32.7	27.30	32.38	27
Pig swab in saline	+	PCV +	15.36	20.49	15.96	20.8
Pig swab in saline	+	PRRSV +	34.83	28.47	39.42	27.6
Pig swab in saline	+	PRRSV +	20.42	23.97	20.29	24.4
Pig serum	-		ND	ND	ND	31.8
Pig serum	-		ND	27.94	ND	28.9
Pig serum	-		ND	28.42	ND	30.0
Pig serum	-		ND	26.22	ND	27.4
Pig serum	-		ND	29.09	ND	31.1
Pig serum	-		ND	26	ND	27.
Pig serum	-		ND	27.5	ND	28.4
Pig swab in saline	-	PCV+	ND	27.38	ND	27.8
Extracted RNA	-	CSF+	ND	23.32	ND	23.
Extracted RNA	-	PRRSV+	ND	35.85	ND	34.6
Extracted DNA	-	MHP+	ND	ND	ND	35.5
Extracted DNA	-	PRV+	ND	22.37	ND	22.
Extracted DNA	-	PCV2+	ND	ND	ND	NE

	ASE	Other	UlfaQ [™] ASFV Ct value		IDEXX RealPCR Ct value	
Sample type	status	disease status	ASFV (FAM)	IPC (HEX)	ASFV (FAM)	IPC (HEX)
Nasal/throat swabs	+		34.44	28.58	33.59	NA
Blood swabs	+		21.41	26.32	20.69	NA
Nasal/throat swabs	+		26.44	27.78	25.43	NA
Blood swabs	+		22.27	26.07	21.47	NA
Blood swabs	+		38.58	26.98	37.5	NA
Blood swabs	+		19.11	26.73	18.4	NA
Environment swabs	+		36.46	28.52	35.45	NA
Environment swabs	+		19.08	22.72	17.93	NA
Blood swabs	+		28.41	25.79	26.28	NA
No. of the second			24.42	27.22	20.44	

31.53 28.31 30.61 NA Blood sample + Table 4: Ct values of samples tested in study B using UlfaQ[™] ASFV and comparison tests

Study C (n=200)*

ed by The Pirbright Institute and only form part nent and validation program of this assay. The Pirbrig led the data as is, without any further interpretation o

	Ct range	UlfaQ™ ASFV	King et al.	Agreement
Total Negatives	No Ct	100	106	94.3%
Total positives	All Ct	91	94	96.8%
Strongly positive	Ct < 20	45	45	100%
Medium positive	Ct ≥20, <28	30	30	100%
Weakly positive	Ct ≥28, <35	13	13	100%
	Ct ≥35	3	6	50%

Table 5: Ct value ranges and test results agreement of UlfaQ™ ASFV with comparison tests.

UlfaQ[™] ASFV and comparison tests

Standard protocol v.s. Direct amplification of serum samples

Figure 2: Comparisons of C_T values using four porcine serum samples spiked with ASFV P72 plasmid (1k copies/reaction) between different sample pretreatment

S57

protocols 30.0 p=0.02 p=0.12 29.0 p=0.01* 28.0 50 27.0 26.0 25.0

S67

Table 6: C_T values of four porcine serum samples spiked with different concentrations of ASFV P72 plasmid.



Two porcine samples (S27 and S67) show significant difference of Ct values between the standard and the direct protocol. However, all Ct differences are less than 1, indicating minor impact on sensitivity. Most amplifications with lower copies of targets are successful.

Discussion and conclusion

S27

- UlfaQTM ASFV Test offers a reliable, sensitive, and efficient diagnostic solution for ASFV detection.
- UlfaQTM ASFV Test can directly test serum samples, but more clinical samples should be tested to validate the performances.

References

ರ

- Sidstedt, M., Hedman, J., Romsos, E. L., Waitara, L., Wadsö, L., Steffen, C. R., ... & Rådström, P. (2018). Inhibition mechanisms of hemoglobin, immunoglobulin G, and whole blood in digital and real-time PCR. Analytical and bioanalytical chemistry, 410(10), García, M. E., Blanco, J. L., Caballero, J., & Gargallo-Viola, D. (2002). Anticoagulants interfere with PCR used to diagnose invasive
- Great Int. E., Joanel G. J. L., Labarielo J., & Caligno Tran, D. (2007). Introduction interfect with refeature during to due to d

methods, 107(1), 53-61



